Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 USBERL 00465 141827Z ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 EB-08 FAA-00 DOTE-00 SAJ-01 ACDA-07 IO-13 CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-04 H-01 INR-07 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-05 PA-01 PRS-01 SP-02 SS-15 USIA-06 EURE-00 /087 W
------141829Z 036909 /46

PR 141730Z FEB 77

FM USMISSION USBERLIN

TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 4038

INFO AMEMBASSY BERLIN

AMEMBASSY BERN

AMEMBASSY BONN

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

AMEBASSY PARIS

AMCONSUL ZURICH

USMISSION NATO

CONFIDENTIAL USBERLIN 0465

E O 11652: GDS

TAGS: EAIR, PFOR, WB, GW, UK, SZ, FR, US, UR

SUBJ: SOVIET COMPLAINT ABOUT PAA ZURICH FLIGHTS

SUMMARY: THE SOVIETS HAVE COMPLAINED ONCE AGAIN ABOUT PAA'S PROPOSAL TO ORIGINATE ZURICH FLIGHTS BEGINNING APRIL 1. POLAD REPEATED OUR REPLY TO LAST PROTEST ON THIS SUBJECT, I.E., THAT WE WOULD CONTINUE TO FLY OUR AIRCRAFT IN ACCORDANCE WITH AGREED PROCEDURES AND WE EXPECTED THE SOVIETS TO MEET THEIR OBLIGATION IN THIS AREA. END SUMMARY.

1. ON FEBRUARY 14 SOVIET EMBASSY COUNSELOR KHOTULEV (ACCOMPANIED BY FIRST SECRETARY TYUTYUNOV) CALLED AT US MISSION TO DELIVER RETREADED COMPLAINT ABOUT PAA INTENTION TO ORIGINATE BERLIN-ZURICH FLIGHTS ON APRIL 1. THE RUSSIAN TEXT WAS LEFT BEHIND, AND WE WILL SEND FULL CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 USBERL 00465 141827Z

TRANSLATION TOMORROW.

2. KHOTULEV'S MESSAGE STATED IT WAS NECESSARY TO REPEAT WHAT THE SOVIET EMBASSY HAS POINTED OUT MORE THAN ONCE, I.E., THAT THE BERLIN AIR CORRIDORS HAVE A SPECIAL REGIME AND ARE NOT AVAILABLE FOR PRIVATE (CIVIL) COMPANIES TO TRANSPORT PASSENGERS AND FREIGHT. THE

ATTEMPT TO SET UP ZURICH FLIGHTS IS AN EVIDENT MISUSE OF THE AIR SPACE. THE SOVIET SIDE REPEATS WHAT IT SAID TO THE THREE POWERS IN ITS NOTE OF JUNE 24, 1974, I.E., THAT EFFORTS TO SET UP DIRECT FLIGHTS BETWEEN THE WSB AND OTHER COUNTRIES HAVE TO BE REGARDED AS A STEP DIRECTED AT UNILATERAL CHANGE IN THE SITUATION WHICH NOW OBTAINS IN THE AREA UNDER THE QUADRIPARTITE AGREEMENT. SPECIAL ATTENTION OF THE THREE POWERS HAD TO BE DRAWN TO THE CIRCUMSTANCE THAT FLIGHTS ALONG THESE LINES WOULD HAVE AN ILLEGAL CHARACTER WITH ALL OF THE CONSEQUENCES ARISING THEREFROM. THE SOVIETS CONSIDER THAT ENOUGH POSSIBILITIES EXIST TO SATISFY THE TRANSPORTATIONAL REQUIREMENTS OF WEST BERLIN WITHOUT RESORTING TO VIOLATIONS OF FOUR POWER UNDERSTANDINGS.

3. IN REPLY, POLAD AGREED THAT WE HAD BOTH EXPRESSED OUR VIEWS ON THIS SUBJECT MANY TIMES. SINCE OUR DIFFERENT STANDPOINTS HAD BEEN SET FORTH AS RECENTLY AS LAST FALL, POLAD SAID HE WOULD SIMPLY REPEAT WHAT HE HAD TOLD KHOTULEV ON NOVEMBER 9. SPECIFICALLY, POLAD STATED: BEGIN TEXT: AS YOU REFERRED TO A 1974 STATEMENT, I WILL REPEAT THAT WE STAND BY THE THREE POWER STATEMENT TO YOU OF SEPTEMBER 11, 1974 ON A SIMILAR MATTER, THE LANGUAGE OF WHICH WE CONFIRM. THE ALLIED AUTHORITIES WISH TO REPEAT THAT ALLIED AIRCRAFT WILL CONTINUE TO FLY IN THE BERLIN CONTROL ZONE AND THE AIR CORRIDORS IN ACCORDANCE WITH QUADRIPARTITELY AGREED RULES AND ESTABLISHED PRACTICES, AND THAT THEY EXPECT THE SOVIET AUTHORITIES TO CONTINUE TO FULFILL CONFIDENTIAL.

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 USBERL 00465 141827Z

THEIR OBLIGATIONS WITH RESPECT TO SUCH FLIGHTS. END TEXT.

- 4. POLAD SAID THERE WAS NO POINT IN HIS EXTEMPORIZING FURTHER SINCE HIS MESSAGE CONTAINED ALL THE NECESSARY ELEMENTS OF THE ALLIED POSITION.
- 5. KHOTULEV SAID HE WOULD ALSO REFRAIN FROM PERSONAL ELABORATIONS, BUT WENT ON TO SAY THAT HE WAS NOT TALKING ABOUT NORMAL FLIGHTS, BUT A CHANGE IN PRACTICE, I.E., THE ESTABLISHMENT OF NEW COMMERCIAL ROUTES WHICH HAD NOTHING TO DO WITH ALLIED USAGE. HE NOTED THAT SUCH FLIGHTS WHEN MADE OCCASIONALLY (THAT IS, CHARTER FLIGHTS) ARE NOT GUARANTEED BY THE SOVIETS BECAUSE THEY ARE ABNORMAL AND VIOLATE USUAL PRACTICE.
- 6. POLAD SAID WE DID NOT CONSIDER SUCH AUTHORIZED FLIGHTS BY AIRCRAFT OF THE THREE POWERS ILLEGAL. WE WOULD TAKE NOTE OF THE SOVIET STATEMENT.GEORGE

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X

Capture Date: 01-Jan-1994 12:00:00 am Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Concepts: DIPLOMATIC PROTESTS, AIR SCHEDULES, AIR ROUTES

Control Number: n/a

Copy: SINGLE Sent Date: 14-Feb-1977 12:00:00 am Decaption Date: 01-Jan-1960 12:00:00 am Decaption Note:

Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW

Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEV
Disposition Date: 22 May 2009
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1977USBERL00465
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00

Document Unique ID: 00 Drafter: n/a

Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: GS Errors: N/A

Expiration: Film Number: D770052-0376 Format: TEL

From: USBERLIN Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path: ISecure: 1

Legacy Key: link1977/newtext/t19770243/aaaablui.tel Line Count: 116

Litigation Code IDs: Litigation Codes:

Litigation History: Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM Message ID: c54fcec6-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc

Office: ACTION EUR

Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Reference: n/a Retention: 0

Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Content Flags: Review Date: 04-Feb-2005 12:00:00 am

Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a **Review Media Identifier:** Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

SAS ID: 3325199 Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: SOVIET COMPLAINT ABOUT PAA ZURICH FLIGHTS SUMMARY: THE SOVIETS HAVE COMPLAINED ONCE AGAIN ABOUT PAA\'S

PRÓPOSAL TO ORIGINATE ZURICH FLIGHTS BEGINNI TAGS: EAIR, PFOR, WB, GE, UK, SZ, FR, US, UR, PANAM

To: STATE Type: TE

vdkvgwkey: odbc://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS_Docs/c54fcec6-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released **US** Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009